KMOB

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Docket No.: SAVCOR.019C4

NOV 1 6 2006

Page 1 of 1

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Applicant

Mann, et al.

App. No

11/027,598

Filed

December 30, 2004

For

FLEXIBLE LEAD FOR DIGITAL

CARDIAC RHYTHM

MANAGEMENT

Examiner

Terri L. Smith

Art Unit

3762

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION PTO CENTRALIZED FAX

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments, are being transmitted via facsimile to the USPTO centralized Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:

November 16, 2006

(Date)

Total number of pages including this speed: 4

Andrew I, Kimmel, Reg. No. 58,855

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

(X) Interview Summary in 2 pages.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or

credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Andrew I. Kimmel

Registration No. 58,855

Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995 (949) 760-0404

3125251 111606

Ø 002

SAVCOR.019C4

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Mann, et al.

Appl. No.

11/027,598

Filed

December 30, 2004

For

FLEXIBLE LEAD FOR DIGITAL

CARDIAC RHYTHM

MANAGEMENT

Examiner

Terri L. Smith

Group Art Unit

3762

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary is provided as a result of a telephonic interview conducted on October 12, 2006, as discussed below.

Summary of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 1 6 2006

Appl. No.

11/027,598

Filed

December 30, 2004

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Smith and Examiner Evanisko for the telephonic interview on October 12, 2006.

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted via telephone on October 12, 2006. Examiners Smith and Evanisko and Applicant's counsel, Dr. Salima A. Merani and Andrew Kimmel, participated in the telephone interview.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 11.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent No. 5,782,898 to Dahl, et al.

Proposed Amendments

Applicant will consider clarifying Claim 11 to state that the shielding layer is an electrical shielding layer and/or that a single conductor in the lead can independently communicate with more than one electrode.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Attendees discussed Claim 11 in view of Dahl. Applicants argued that the applied art does not teach or suggest electrical shielding or a single conductor that can independently communicate with more than one electrode.

Results of Interview

No agreement was reached. Applicant will submit an Amendment addressing the issues discussed with the Examiners Smith and Evanisko.